IMMIGRANTS WITH ENGINEERING BACKGROUNDS (IEBs) SETTLING IN ONTARIO

WHAT YOU NEED TO UNDERSTAND ABOUT ENGINEERING AND LICENSING

By G. K. Bambrah Coordinator 'Engineering Access' Project

THE COUNCIL FOR ACCESS TO THE PROFESSION OF ENGINEERING (CAPE)

Evolving membership based organisation for the following categories of immigrants with engineering backgrounds living in Ontario

1016 Members from across the province

Coalition of 20 existing and evolving community engineering associations (estimated 10 to 12 thousand members)

Potential new entrants (estimated at over 7,000 per year)

WHY IS CAPE NEEDED?

Huge unemployment problem

Poor labour market information

To Canada and Ontario self declare themselves as engineers, engineering technicians and technologists

Only 15% hold any meaningful engineering jobs

Less than 5% of them get licensed.

CAPE VISION

- Maximize IEB potential to contribute to their:
 - Local communities
 - Province
 - Country
 - Planet

Scherner (1997) Through

- Meaningful and productive utilization of engineering knowledge and experience
- Upgrading their knowledge and skills in keeping with evolving trends in engineering and its development.

COMMUNITY ACTION RESEARCH

Through 'Engineering Access' a community action research Project (funded by Canadian Heritage and CAPE in almost equal shares) we have:

Developed an effective and legitimate voice for immigrants with engineering backgrounds;

Documented barriers facing employers and immigrants with engineering backgrounds to labour market integration

Developed labour market information and tools to promote cross-cultural understanding within the engineering workplace in Ontario

Set up an interactive website

CAPE MEMBERSHIP

- Multi-cultural from over 70 countries
- Multi-disciplinary 23 engineering disciplines
- Inter-disciplinary sometimes holding qualifications in multiple disciplines (IT in particular)
- Highly educated 65% Bachelors, 29% Masters 5% Doctorates
- Extensive global experience 12.2 years on average
- Over 50% have been in Ontario for more than 2 years.

IEB SURVEY

By Region
Range of qualifications
Range of disciplines
Length of stay
Years of experience
Employment status

UNDERSTANDING BARRIERS TO ACCESS CANADIAN 'EXPERIMENTS' IN DIVERSITY

of immigrants				
(x 1000)	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4
400				
	2^*			
350	Λ			
300	A			
		•		1
250	/ \		$\int_{5}^{6} \bigwedge$	✓ Visible 7/ Minority wav
200		4	$\int \sqrt{1}$	(1984 onwards
150		$/$ \vee		/
	1 1 1 1	/		
100		/		
	*/ \/			
50	/			
0				
	1897-1937	1938-1967	1968-1983	1984 and after

CLUSTER 1(THREE WAVES): 1897-1937 FIRST WORLD WAR

Preferred source countries – white commonwealth

- Britain, United States, Newfoundland, South Africa, Irish Free State, New Zealand, Australia
- Wave 1: Miners, engineers and scientists
 - set up Canadian Society of Civil Engineers (CSCE) to control supply of engineers
- Wave 2: WW1Refugees and Returning Military engineers led to competition
 - CSCE devolved to provinces leading to formation of Professional Engineers of Ontario in 1922
 - Licensing made mandatory 1937
 - Language disconnect

Wave 3: Unskilled workers and refugees (Non-preferred European)

Voluntary settlement organizations setup in response to language disconnect of immigrants from non-preferred European countries

CLUSTER 2 (ONE WAVE): 1938-1967 SECOND WORLD WAR

- Wave 4 'Non-preferred' source countries
 - Eastern and Southern Europe (language and education system disconnect)
- Expansion of universities and community colleges?
- Refugees WWII, unskilled workers (trades and technicians) and few professionals
 - Reserved title for Engineers introduced by PEO to set apart Engineers and technicians (gate-keeping)
 - Academic accreditation
- Certification of technicians initiated by PEO
 - OACETT set up in 1961
- Underutilization of skilled tradespersons
 - Voluntary ethno-cultural community settlement organizations e.g COSTI (1961) set up to provide training and retraining to Italians or language training and bridging education (Polish engineers)

CLUSTER 3(TWO WAVES): 1968-1983 ECONOMIC SLOWDOWN AND STAGNATION

- Non-preferred and non-traditional source Countries
 - Mostly non-preferred European (language and education disconnect); and
 - a few from non-traditional countries (social, cultural and partial language disconnect)
- Bill of Rights (1960) and Charter of rights (1982)
- Shortage of skilled workers
- Expansion of community colleges
- Wave 6 Skilled workers based on point system
 - Prearranged Employment a condition for skilled workers
 - Education or language disconnect irrelevant
 - Settlement services formally handed over to voluntary and ethnocultural community organizations
 - mandate extended to include employment support for non-skilled workers and refugees.



2

CLUSTER 4 (ONE WAVE): 1984 TO DATE RESTRUCTURING AND GLOBALIZATION

- Overwhelmingly diverse and non-traditional source countries
 - largely commonwealth countries but social, ethnic and cultural disconnect
- Points geared to highly educated and experienced immigrants
 - Pre-arranged employment condition eliminated
 - Tenfold increase in immigrants with engineering credentials (Competition)
- Although immigrants more educated and experienced than host population but
 - employers risk averse due to lack of knowledge of foreign credentials
 - Protection of life, health, property and public welfare introduced into licensing in 1984 by PEO
 - Experience accreditation and Canadian Experience introduced into licensing by PEO in 1990 (gate-keeping)
- Employment support for non-skilled workers and refugees extended to assist IEBs and other professionals
 - To bridge surplus skills/qualifications or employers lack of foreign credentials?
 - New Ethno-cultural engineering associations formed
 - Translated into ESL, LINC

EMPLOYER ISSUES

- Employers lack knowledge of foreign credentials
- Employers lack knowledge of other country experience
- Depend on human resources firms/departments to transform job description into hired worker
- Through recruitment process Employers 'risk adversity' translates into:
 - Hiring 'Canadians first' as justifiable 'exact fit'
 - Demands for 'Canadian Experience'
 - Demands for PEO License or other local accreditation
 - Demands for language and work place culture compatibility

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSE

- Engineering is self-regulated by professional engineers of Ontario (PEO) in the interests of the public
- Character requirements resident of Canada, 18yrs or older, of good character etc (over 99%)
- Academic requirements a CEAB accredited Bachelors degree in Engineering or equivalency determined on an individual by individual basis by the PEO Academic Review Committee (60-70%)
 - Confirmatory exams between four and twenty
 - Can be waived by asking for a interview with the Experience Review Committee
- The professional Practice and Ethics Examination (60-70%)
- 48 months of acceptable engineering experience (60-70%) of which
 12 months must be under an engineer licensed in Canada (5%)

ISSUES FACING IMMIGRANTS WITH ENGINEERING EXPERIENCE AND CREDENTIALS

- 'Reserved Title' that strips them of all professional engineering experience or credentials on landing
- Multiple time-consuming equivalency assessments comprising:
 - Academic Accreditation Individual by Individual from federal, provincial, employment specific, academic specific, regulatory requirements etc.
 - Experience Assessment by employers who have inadequate or outdated other country knowledge?
- Inadequate labour market integration information
- Inadequate immigration decision support information
- Poor social, ethnic or cultural links to the host population
- No space in which to demonstrate their skills, knowledge or proficiencies other than employment supports not geared to their needs.

BASIC ASSUMPTIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS

The following are some assumptions and considerations CAPE proposes to address under the current ecological, societal and economic demands within the context of the changing demography of Ontario:

- Are the increasingly inevitable differences in education and experience always a Deficit?
- Is equivalency inherently valuable and desirable?
- Can differences in knowledge and experience translate to equivalency based on bridging systems balanced on single pillars for equivalency to gain access to professional employment?
- What are the likely effects of emerging engineering trends and globalization of labour, goods and services on Ontario?

EMPLOYED MEMBERS

Range of qualifications Years of experience Length of stay Employment Salaries

Other Surveys

A Specific surveys relating outcomes of employment preparation and bridging program shows that :

Length of program

Employment outcome

Who defines difference and why?

- Regulator to protect the profession and the public
- Employer to define labour market needs
- Others
 - Training and education to bridge deficits
 - Advocacy groups to protect wages/equity

HOW IS CAPE IS SUPPORTING IEBs

CAPE has built up a factual picture of the human resources represented by IEBs by building up its membership (This is free to all IEBs)

CAPE has developed labour market and licensing information relevant to IEBs

CAPE has developed an advisory and decision support for IEBs that includes:

a GIS based tool to locate employers of professional engineers across Ontario

A resume builder specifically for engineers

Is developing a mentoring tool for IEBs

CAPE has made submission to PEO, MTCU, the George Thompson Commission and the Standing Committee of the House of Commons on immigration

WHAT CAPE IS DOING TO ADDRESS EMPLOYMENT OF IEBS

CAPE is engaging employers in developing an employment strategy for IEBs through a series of six multi-stakeholder roundtables

CAPE is now moving to identify those occupations that are open to engineers but do not require a license

CAPE will not promote the de-skilling of IEBs, delegitmising of their credentials or other downward mobility of its members

OTHER ISSUES

•CAPE is raising the following pertinent questions with the government and other stakeholders

- Higher levels of education deficit?
- Global experience negated
- International Credentials denied legally by reserving titles
- Canadian experience inaccessible and undefined
- Equivalency Criteria for experience not defined
- Accreditation, Language training and testing underdeveloped and not synchronized federal/provincial levels
- Employment support and upward bridging



WWW.CAPEINFO.CA

IEB Survey- Range of disciplines

Engineering Discipline	Number
Civil Engineering	177
Electrical and Electronics Engineering	162
Mechanical Engineering	154
Engineering Managers	82
Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering	72
Chemical Engineering	56
Software Engineering	32
Electrical and Electronics Engineering Technologists and Technicians	30
Geological Engineering	6
Railway and Yard Locomotive Engineering	6
Civil Engineering Technologists and Technicians	25
Computer Engineering (Except Software Engineering)	29



IEB Survey- Range of disciplines (Continued)

Engineering Discipline	Number
Metallurgical and Materials Engineering	21
Engineering Inspectors and Regulatory Officers	16
Industrial Engineering and Manufacturing Technologists and Technicians	15
Petroleum Engineering	14
Aerospace Engineering	13
Mechanical Engineering Technologists and Technicians	13
Mining Engineering	9
Engineering Officers, Water Transport	8
Stationary Engineering and Auxiliary Equipment Operators	7
Geological Engineering	6
Railway and Yard Locomotive Engineering	6
Other Professional Engineering, n.e.c.	35
<u>×</u>	<u>chart</u>

MEMBERS FROM DIFFERENT REGIONS





Members from Asian countries





Members from European countries



Members from Latin American and the Caribbean countries





Members from Middle Eastern countries





RANGE OF QUALIFICATIONS





LENGTH OF STAY IN CANADA





EMPLOYMENT STATUS



■ Not working ■ Working in Professional field ■ Working, but in another field



YEARS OF ENGINEERING EXPERIENCE





EMPLOYED MEMBERS - QUALIFICATIONS





EMPLOYED MEMBERS -LENGTH OF STAY



■ 0-2 Years ■ 2-5 Years ■ 5-10 Years ■ 10-20 Years ■ >20 Years



EMPLOYED MEMBERS - YEARS OF ENGINEERING EXPERIENCE



■ 0-2 Years ■ 2-5 Years ■ 5-10 Years □ 10-20 Years ■ >20 Years



EMPLOYED MEMBERS TYPE OF EMPLOYMENT



Employed in a field related to engineering Not employed in a field related to engineering



EMPLOYED MEMBERS – INCOME DATA





Employment Support Survey-Length of program



■ Less then one week ■ One-Three Weeks ■ Four-Six weeks ■ More



Employment Support Survey-Outcome



Found engineering job after attending this program

Did not find engineering job after attending this program

